

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,359	03/09/2000	Wadood Hamad	A-6756	3106
7590 10/22/2003			EXAMINER	
Stewart I Gitler Esq Hoffman Wasson & Gitler PC 2361 Jefferson Davis Highway Suite 522			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22202			1774	16
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	L –

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A-S-14				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/522,359	HAMAD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lawrence D Ferguson	1774				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF		TH(S) FROM				
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the main department of the main department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 July <u>2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
-	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicatio						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
′_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
-	7) Claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	/or election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	_	Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)[<u></u>	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	·					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
15) <u> </u>) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •					
Attachmen		 -					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice of Infon	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and T							

Application/Control Number: 09/522,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Request for Reconsideration

1. This action is in response to the request for reconsideration mailed July 28, 2003. Claims 1-8 are pending in this case.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terasawa et al. (U.S. 5,470,434) for reasons previously stated in the Office Action submitted on May 7, 2003.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

4. Claims 2, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terasawa et al. (U.S. 5,470,434) in view of Warszawski (U.S. 4,596,635) for reasons previously stated in the Office Action submitted on May 7, 2003.

Application/Control Number: 09/522,359 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

ì

V

5. Claims 5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

The arguments in regards to rejection under 35 USC 103(a) as being 6. unpatentable over Terasawa et al. (U.S. 5,470,434) and Terasawa et al. (U.S. 5,470,434) in view of Warszawski (U.S. 4,596,635) have been considered but is unpersuasive. Applicant argues there is no disclosure of a discontinuous polymer material formed in geometric formations. Examiner respectfully disagrees because Terasawa discloses decay-resistant impregnated paper cellulose made of fiber (abstract and column 2, lines 15-25) having discontinuous circular geometrical formations displayed in Figures 1 and 4 and column 12, lines 51-67, where the paper is impregnated with an antidecaying agent consisting of polymeric fiber (column 6, line 44 through column 7, line 30). In instant claim 1, Applicant states a discontinuous polymer material is impregnated into the web in geometrical formations. Terasawa meets this claim limitation by disclosing the impregnation of the paper with an antidecaying agent consisting of polymeric fiber as indicated in column 6, line 44 through column 7, line 30. As shown in Figures 1 and 4, this impregnation of polymeric fibrous material results in a discontinuous structure. Applicant further argues the Examiner is incorrect in stating that the anti decaying agent consists of polymeric fiber because the polymeric fiber is part of the treated paper. This is not true because Terasawa's paper is impregnated

Application/Control Number: 09/522,359

Art Unit: 1774

٧

(treated) just as Applicant's paper is impregnated (treated) in instant claim 1. Contrary to Applicant's assertion that the anti decaying agent is the N-substituted derivative of urea and a catalyst such as magnesium chloride, Terasawa indicates the antidecay comprises a polymeric fiber (column 6, lines 42-52).

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Art Unit: 1774

-3

examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

O. MA